IMPACT OF FAMILY ENVIORNMENT ON ADOLESCENTS' GOAL ORIENTATION

Dr.S. Jeyavel

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Psychology, School of Social and Behavioural Sciences, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga, Karnataka.

Dr.S. Kadhiravan

Associate Professor & Head, Department of Psychology, Periyar University, Salem.

ABSTRACT

Recent days' technological development, have posed considerable pressure on our students effort to learning. How adolescents progress with their school subjects depend on their life goals and motivation. Goal orientation is one's goal preference in achievement setting. A mastery goal orientation reflects a focus on the development of knowledge, skill, and competence relative to one's own previous performance and is thus self-referential. Performance goals concern a striving for demonstrating competence by trying to outperform peers on academic tasks. Parents are one of the important forces behind every one's learning and motivation. Since we spend much of our time in family, exploring family environment's relationship with goal orientation becomes important need of this hour. This study adopted survey method to collect data from 610 ninth standard matriculation school students of Madurai city. 't'-test, product moment correlation, and multiple regression were attempted for statistical analysis. Students of college educated parents, urban students and female students have better goal orientation. Learning orientation has significant relationship with all dimension of family environment. Cohesion and active recreational orientation in the family have a significant influence on adolescent's learning orientation and also it is found that, family cohesion have a significant influence on students' performance approach orientation.

Key Terms: Goal Orientation, Mastery Orientation, Family Environment.

INTRODUCTION

Recent days technological development, have posed considerable pressure on our students' effort to learning. Mass communication media, development of youth culture, decentralization of decision making, changing nature of the work, requirement of multiple skills and capacities, urbanization and migration are important highlighting features of this changing world. Plenty of television channels face book, i-pods, and computer games have sucked majority of our adolescents effective work time. Working parents, Parental involvement, parental expectations are other set of factors add stress to adolescent's effort to learn. Many studies have indicated that depression, due to academic pressures, among adolescents is on the rise (Verma et al. 2002; Taj, 1999, Katyal & Vasudeva 1999). The inability to find appropriate vocational avenue, lack of information and social pressures further compound this trend. How adolescents progress with their school learning depends on their life goals and motivation. Academic goals of adolescents not only focus their learning efforts, and also show light on the direction they need to go.

GOAL ORIENTATION

Goal orientation is one's goal preference in achievement setting and it ones desire to develop, realize, and exhibit the capability to perform a specific activity. Researchers have identified several types of goal orientation. Mastery goal orientation or Learning goal orientation focus on the development of knowledge, skill, and competence relative to one's own previous performance and is thus self-referential. Performance goals concern a striving for demonstrating competence by trying to outperform peers on academic tasks and concerned with gaining favourable judgements of their competence and a tendency to avoid challenging situations. Elliot & Harackiewicz (1996) proposed that performance goals can be broken down into performance approach and performance avoidance goals. Approach type performance goals involve the goal of demonstrating one's competence relative to others. Individuals with these goals are interested in demonstrating their ability relative to others. In contrast, avoidance type performance goals involve the goal of avoiding looking incompetent at a task. When the perceived competency is high, individual will go with approach type of performance goal, in another way, if the competency

is low, individual will select the avoidance type of goal in order to avoid the demonstration of incompetence. When school personnel use practices that encourage social comparison and make ability different salient, performance goals become significant. In contrast, when school personnel use practices that focus on improvement, effort, and self-comparisons, mastery goals become prominent (Maehr & Midgly, 1996).

FAMILY ENVIRONMENT

From infancy thorough adolescence, and beyond, children look to parents to provide them with important psychological resources. Parents' provision of such resources shapes children's personality development (Eccles, Early, Frasier, Belansky & McCarthy, 1997). When parents create a psychologically positive environment, children flourish; when they fail to do so, children suffer. Parents are one of the important forces behind every one's learning and motivation. Educators, policy makers and researchers have long focused on parental involvement as a complement to the fundamental importance of strong teaching and curricula to student achievement. Since we spend much of our time in family, exploring family environment's relationship with goal orientation becomes important need of this hour.

NEED FOR THIS STUDY

In order to improve the learning skills of students, it is important for the educators to identify learning strategies, and motivational conditions which would facilitate the process of learning. Mastery orientation and performance approach orientation will lead to deeper level of processing of information which helps the learner to retain the information for a long period of time. Identifying the facilitating condition from the family, for students' learning also important need of this globalized nation. Hence, this study attempted to study the goal orientation of adolescents and their family environment.

OBJECTIVES

- 1. To assess Goal orientation of adolescents
- 2. To assess Family environment and Goal Orientation of adolescents
- 3. To compare adolescent's Goal orientation based on their gender, Parent's income, parent's education and locality.
- 4. To explore the relationship between Goal orientation and Family environment of adolescents.
- 5. To assess the impact of Family Environment on adolescents' Goal Orientation.

HYPOTHESES

- 1. There is a significant difference in goal orientation of adolescents based on their gender.
- 2. There is no significant difference in goal orientation of adolescents with respect to their parent's income.
- 3. There is a significant difference in goal orientation of adolescents on the basis of their parent's education.
- 4. There is a significant relationship between adolescent's goal orientation and the quality of family environment.
- 5. Family environment of adolescents' have a significant impact on their goal orientation.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted survey method. Stratified random sampling technique was adapted to select the samples from Madurai City Matriculation schools. The following tools were administered.

TOOLS USED

1. Family Environment Scale by Bhatia & Chadha (1993) - This scale consists of 69 questions, divided into three subscales and eight different dimensions. The dimensions are cohesion, expressiveness, conflict, acceptance & caring, independence, active recreational orientation, organization and control.

2. Goal orientation measure by Zweig and Webster (2004)- This tool consists of 21 items, measuring goal orientation of students in three dimensions, Performance Approach Orientation, Performance Avoidance Orientation, and Learning Orientation in a seven point scale.

SAMPLE

The samples of the study comprise of 610 ninth standard studying matriculation school students from Madurai city. 't' test, product moment correlation and multiple regression were used in this study.

DISCUSSION

From the gender wise comparison Table:1, female students have shown significantly higher performance avoidance orientation as well as learning orientation. Girls are more sensitive to negative feedback than boys. Performance of any activity leads to evaluation by others and the fear of negative feedback/ criticism would make the female students avoid the task than approaching it. Present education system is more achievement (Knowledge) oriented than developing skills. Generally, females have better verbal ability and memory than boys, which gives them an advantage over boys. Hence, females tend to have higher learning orientation. Studies by Hardeep (2006), Tara Motha (2005), Wolters (1999), Niemivirta (1997), and Pokay & Blumenfeld (1990) have supported this result. Parent's education wise comparison is shown in **Table:2**. Students of college educated parents have better performance approach orientation and learning orientation. Highly educated parents know more about what their children are being taught, and are able to help them with homework and to provide appropriate cognitive stimulation. They could also train their children to be career oriented. They use to create conducive atmosphere for the learning activities of their children and motivate them to set higher targets and consistently working towards the targets. This requires the tendency to approach the task and better orientation towards learning.

Urban and rural comparison of goal orientation is presented in **Table:3**. Urban students have shown a higher mean score in all the goal orientation constructs. Urban pupil get wider opportunities to learn and from their environment, they easily get the feedback about their performance. This has enabled them to be more learning oriented when the learning orientation is high which in turn helps the development of approach orientation. Learning capabilities provide the confidence to approach a task. Also in performance avoidance orientation, the urban students have got higher scores than their counterpart. Students from urban area show more knowledge than competence due to their excessive reliance on technology and also they have inadequate interpersonal skills. These inadequacies may promote tendency to avoid.

Table:4 shows the correlation analysis of Family environment and goal orientation. Learning orientation has significant relationship with all dimensions of family environment. Family cohesion, expressiveness, caring, independence, active recreational orientation is highly correlated to learning orientation. In conflict dimension of family environment also highly correlated with learning orientation. High score in conflict dimension indicates low conflict in the family. Every dimension of family environment has significant relationship with learning orientation. In table:5, we could see the results of regression analysis of family environment on student's goal orientation. Family cohesion and active recreational orientation in family have 28% influence on students learning goal orientation. It is to be noted that, active recreational orientation has negative influence on learning orientation. Parents need to keep recreational activities at minimum to have better learning. Family cohesion also influenced performance approach orientation, in which individual feel capable of performing a task. Even though students motivation is very personal, family's influences on one's motivation is explicit in this study. Schools and academic institutions have to consider learners' family environment as an important contributor of their motivation behind learning.

CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

It is concluded from this study that, female students have better learning orientation and performance avoidance orientation. Students of college educated parents have better performance approach orientation and learning

orientation. Students of high income group and urban students have better goal orientation. Family environment have significant relationship with student's learning orientation, and performance approach orientation. Further intervention studies are needed to promote rural students' goal orientation. Family counselling could be promoted in schools, in order to enhance the performance of underachieving students. Schools could arrange trainings especially to school educated parents to enhance their knowledge to deal with their children.

TABLE: 1 GOAL ORIENTATION OF STUDENTS: GENDER WISE COMPARISON.

Dimensions of Goal Orientation	Male N=363		Female	't' value		
Dimensions of Goal Orientation	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t value	
Performance approach orientation	31.38	6.74	31.55	7.11	0.298 NS	
Performance avoidance orientation	28.54	5.71	29.75	5.95	2.530*	
Learning orientation	34.25	7.91	37.79	8.12	5.370*	
NS - Not Significant	*- Significant at 0.05 level					

TABLE: 2 GOAL ORIENTATION OF STUDENTS WITH RESPECT TO THEIR PARENTS' EDUCATION.

Dimonsions of Coal Orientation	College Edu. N=	=379	School Edu. N	642 l a		
Dimensions of Goal Orientation	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	't' value	
Performance approach orientation	32.15	7.15	30.29	6.27	3.274*	
Performance avoidance orientation	29.24	5.68	28.68	6.07	1.134 ^{NS}	
Learning orientation	36.56	8.13	34.24	8.06	3.429*	
NS - Not Significant	*- Significant at 0.05 level					

TABLE: 3 GOAL ORIENTATION OF STUDENTS BASED ON THEIR PARENTS' INCOME.

Dimensions of Goal Orientation	Low N=334		High	(4)	
Dimensions of Goal Orientation	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	't' value
Performance approach orientation	30.11	6.45	32.55	7.05	4.425*
Performance avoidance orientation	28.38	5.78	29.57	5.83	2.517*
Learning orientation	34.47	8.26	36.67	7.98	3.335*

NS - Not Significant

TABLE: 4 FAMILY ENVIRONMENT AND GOAL ORIENTATION - CORRELATION ANALYSIS.

Family Environment Dimension	Performance Apporach Orientation	Performance Avoidance Orientation	Learning Orientation
Cohesion	0.250**	0.161**	0.501**
Expressiveness	0.157**	0.072	0.377**
Conflict	0.037	0.025	0.203**
Caring	0.175**	0.085*	0.392**
Independence	0.038	-0.01	0.233**
Active recreation orientation	0.149**	0.07	0.362**
Organization	0.103*	0.081*	0.254**
Control	0.095*	0.025	0.301**

^{*-} Significant at 0.05 level

Research paper E- ISSN - 234

TABLE: 5 INI	FLUENCE OF FAMILY	ENVIRONMENT ON GOAL	ORIENTATION: REGRESSION ANALYSIS.
--------------	-------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------------

Independent variable	Dependent variable	S	't' value	Model Summary
Cohesion	Performance approach orientation	0.245	4.114	R ² =0.075 F=6.071 P<0.01
Cohesion		0.342	6.52	$R^2=0.283$
Active recreational orientation	Learning orientation	-0.113	-2.691	F=29.695 P<0.01

^{*-} Significant at 0.05 level

REFERENCES

- 1. Bhatia, H. & Chadha, N.K. (1993). Manual for Family Environment Scale (FES). Lucknow: Aandur Psychological Agency.
- 2. Eccles, J.S., Early, D., Frasier, K., Belansky, E., & McCarthy, K. (1997). The relation of connection, regulation, and support for autonomy to adolescents' functioning. Journal of Adolescent Research, 12, 263–286.
- 3. Elliot, A.J., & Harackiewicz, J.M. (1996). Approach and avoidance achievement goals and intrinsic motivation: A meditational analysis. Journal of Personality & Social Psychology, 70, 461-475.
- 4. Tara Motha. (2005). Influence of family environment on adolescent's self-regulated learning. Unpublished M.Phil. Dessertaion, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar.
- 5. Zweig, D., & Webster, J. (2004). Validation of a multidimensional measure of goal orientation. Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science, 36, 232-243.
- 6. Hardeep, S. (2006). A study on self-regulated learning of B.Ed. trainees in relation to their adjustment. Unpublished M.Phil. Dissertation, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar.
- 7. Wolters, C.A. (1999). The relation between high school students' motivational regulation and their use of learning strategies, effort, and classroom performance. Learning and Individual Differences, 11, 218-299.
- 8. Niemivirta, M. (1997). Gender differences in motivational-cognitive patterns of self-regulated learning. Paper presented at the Annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL.
- 9. Pokay, P., & Blumenfeld, P.C. (1990). Predicting achievement early and late in the semester: The role of motivation and use of learning strategies. Journal of Educational Psychology, 82, 41-50.
- 10. Maehr, M.L., & Midgley, C. (1996). Transforming school cultures. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.