

#### RECURRENT AND RICCI RECURRENT FINSLER SPACES ADMITTING PROJECTIVE TRANSFORMATION

### B.K. Singh\* S.B.Misra\*\*

\*Department of Mathematics, Amity University, Chhatisgarh. \*\*Department of Post-Graduate Studies and Research In Mathematics, M.L.K. (Post-Graduate) College, Balrampur, UP.

#### Introduction

Let  $F_n$  be an n-dimensional Finsler space equipped with fundamental metric function  $F(x, \dot{x})$  satisfying the requisite condition for conditions for being a Finsler metric and  $g_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$  be the fundamental metric tensor defined by  $F^2(x, \dot{x}) = g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}^{i} \dot{x}^{i}$ , Let  $T_j^i(x, \dot{x})$  be any tensor field, the covariant derivative of such a tensor field in the sense of cartain is given by Rund [2]

(1.1) 
$$T_{jlk}^{i} = \partial_k T_j^{i} - \partial_k T_j^{i} \partial_k G^h + T_j^m \Gamma_{mk}^{xi} - T_m^i \Gamma_{jk}^{xm}$$

where  $\Gamma_{ik}^{\text{st}}(x, \dot{x})$  are the cartan connection coefficients satisfying the relation

(1.2) (a) 
$$\Gamma_{jk}^{xi} = \Gamma_{kj}^{xi}$$
, (b)  $\partial_h \Gamma_{jk}^{xi} \dot{x}^h = 0$ 

We are quoting the following commutation formulae which shall be used in the later discussion:

(1.3) 
$$T_{j|nk}^{i} - T_{j|kh}^{i} = -\partial_{r}T_{j}^{i}K_{mhk}^{r}x^{m} + T_{j}^{r}K_{rhk}^{i} - T_{r}^{i}K_{jkh}^{r}$$
  
(1.4)  $\left(\partial_{k}T_{j}^{i}\right)_{|h} - \partial_{k}T_{j|k}^{i} = \partial_{r}T_{j}^{i}C_{hk|m}^{i}x^{m} - T_{j}^{r}\partial_{k}\Gamma_{rh}^{xi} + T_{r}^{i}\partial_{k}\Gamma_{jh}^{x}$ 

Where curvature tensor field  $K_{jkh}^{i}(x, \dot{x})$  is given by

$$(1.5)K_{jkh}^{r} = Q_{(h,k)} \left\{ \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jh}^{xi}}{\partial x^{k}} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jh}^{xi}}{\partial x^{r}} G_{k}^{r} + \Gamma_{mk}^{xi} \Gamma_{jh}^{xm} \right\}$$

Where  $Q_{(h,k)}$  {.....} Stands for the interchange of the indices h and k and subtraction thereafter. The curvature tensor field  $K_{jkh}^{l}(x, \dot{x})$  is a homogenous function of degree zero in its directional arguments. The term  $C_{jk}^{l}(x, \dot{x})$  appearing in (1.4) is any tensor field give by the following equation:

$$(1.6)C_{ijk}(x,\dot{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_{ij}(x,\dot{x})}{\partial x^k} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^3 F^2(x,\dot{x})}{\partial \dot{x}^i \partial \dot{x}^j \partial \dot{x}^k}$$

We now give the following definition which shall be used in the later discussions.

## **Definition** (1.1)

A Finsler space  $F_n$  is said to be affinely connected if

$$(1.7) C_{(ik)n} = ($$

Also the equation

(1.8)  $\partial_r G_{hk}^i = 0$  implies  $C_{ijk|h} = 0$  hence an affinely connected space is also characterized by the equation (1.9)  $\partial_r \Gamma_{hk}^{sii} = 0$ 

## **Definition (1.2)**

A Finsler space  $F_n$  is said to be recurrent Finsler space of the first order if the curvature tensor field  $K_{jhk}^i(x, \dot{x})$  satisfies the relation:

(1.10)  $K_{jkh}^i = \lambda_m(x, \dot{x}) K_{jkh}^i$ 

Where  $\lambda_m$  is a homogenous function of degree zero in directional arguments. Contracting (1.10) with respect to the indices  $\lambda_m$  and h, we get,



Research Paper Impact Factor: 4. 695 Peer Reviewed & Indexed Journal

*IJMSRR E- ISSN - 2349-6746 ISSN -*2349-6738

 $(1.11)K_{jk|m} = \lambda_m K_{jk}$ 

Where

(1.12)  $K_{jk} = K_{jki}^{i}$  is the Ricci tensor.

In such a case the recurrent Finsler space is terred as Ricci-recurrent Finsler space.

We have said in the foregoing lines that the curvature tensor  $K_{jkh}^{i}(x, \dot{x})$  homogeneous of degree zero in its directional arguments therefore  $K_{jk}^{i}(x, \dot{x})$  is also homogenous of degree zero in its directional arguments and hence we shall have-

$$(1.13) \partial_r K_{jk} x^r = 0$$

We now consider an infinitesimal point transformation given by (1.14)  $\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i_{(x)} dt$ ,

where  $v_{(x)}^i$  stands for a covariant vector field defined over the domain of the space under consideration and dt is an infinitesimal constant. The Fnisler space  $F_n$  is said to admit an infinitesimal projective transformation with respect to the vector field  $v_{(x)}^i$  if the Lie-derivative of the connection coefficients  $\Gamma_{jx}^{ij}$  with respect to (1.14) has the form

$$(1.15) \mathcal{L}_{\nu} \Gamma_{hk}^{xii} = -\delta_j^i p_k - \delta_k^i p_j$$

Where  $\mathcal{L}_{i}$  denotes the operation of Lie-differentiation and  $p(x, \dot{x})$  is an arbitrary scalar function positively homogeneous of degree one in  $x^{i}$  and satisfies-

(1.16) (a) 
$$p_k = \partial_k p_i$$
 (b)  $p_{ik} = \partial_k \partial_i p_i$ 

Because of homogeneity property of  $p(x, \hat{x})$  we have

(1.17) (a) 
$$p_k x^k = p_i$$
 (b)  $p_{jk} x^k = 0$   
It has been given in [2] that  
(1.18)  $\partial_k (\mathcal{L}_v T_j^i) - \mathcal{L}_v (\partial_k T_j^i) = 0$   
(1.19)  $(\mathcal{L}_v T_j^i)_{|k} - \mathcal{L}_v T_j^{|k} = -T_j^i \mathcal{L}_v \Gamma_{hk}^{xi} + T_h^i \Gamma_{jk}^{xh} + \partial_k T_j^i \mathcal{L}_v \Gamma_{rk}^{xh} x^r$ .  
(1.20)  $(\mathcal{L}_v \Gamma_{jk}^{xi})_{|h} - (\mathcal{L}_v \Gamma_{jk}^{xi})_{|k} = \mathcal{L}_v K_{jkh}^i + 2\partial_r \Gamma_{j[k}^{xh} \mathcal{L}_v \Gamma_{hjs}^{xi} x^s$ .

## **Recurrent and Ricci Recurrent Finsler Spaces Admitting Projective Transformation**

With the help of (1.15) and (1.20), we can easily get

(2.1) 
$$\mathcal{L}_{v}K_{jkh}^{i} = 2\left[\delta_{j}^{i}p_{[h/k]} + \delta_{[hp_{j}]h}^{i} + \partial_{[hr_{k]j}^{\pm i}p]}\right]$$

If at this stage we assume that the space under consideration is affinity then in view of (1.9) the equation (2.1) assumes the following form-

(2.2) 
$$\mathcal{L}_{v}K_{jkh}^{i} = 2\left\{\delta_{j}^{i}p_{[h/k]} + \delta_{[hp_{j/k}]}^{i}\right\}$$

we now contract (2.2) with respect to the indices l and h and thereafter use the relation (1.12) and get

$$(2.3) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}} K_{jk} = n p_{j|k} - p_{k|j} = \phi_{jk} (say)$$

We at this stage multiply (2.3) by  $\dot{x}^j \dot{x}^k$  and use the homogeneity property of  $p(x, \dot{x})$  and get

$$(2.4) \mathcal{L}_{\nu} K_{jk} \dot{x}^{j} \dot{x}^{k} = (n-1) p_{|i} \dot{x}^{i}$$

Multiplying (2.2) by  $\dot{x}^{j}$  and then simplifying, we get

(2.5) 
$$\mathcal{L}_{v}K_{jkh}^{i}\dot{x}^{j} = 2\left\{\dot{x}^{i}p_{[h/k]} + \delta_{[h\,p_{j/k}]}^{i}\right\}$$

With the help of (2.3), we can easily deduce the following relations (2.6)  $\phi_{jk} \dot{x}^{j} = n p |k - p_{k|j} \dot{x}^{j}$ ,



(2.7) 
$$\phi_{jk} \dot{x}^{k} = n p_{j|k} \dot{x}^{k} - p|j,$$
  
(2.8)  $\phi_{jk} \dot{x}^{j} x^{k} = (n-1)p|j\dot{x}^{j},$   
(2.9)  $\partial_{x} \phi_{ik} \dot{x}^{j} x^{k} = 0$ 

Differentiating (1.11) covariantly with respect to  $\mathbf{x}^{m}$  in the sense of cartan and then using (1.11) itself, we get

$$(2.10) K_{ij}|_{mh} = (\lambda_{m|h} + \lambda_m \lambda_h) K_{ij}$$

Commutating (2.10) with respect to the indices hand m, we get

$$(2.11) K_{ij|hm} - K_{ij|mh} = (\lambda_{h|m} - \lambda_{m|h}) K_{ij}$$

Using the commutation formula (1.3) in (2.11), we get

$$(2.12) \,\partial_r K_{ij} K^r_{phm} \dot{x}^p + K_{ir} K^r_{jhm} + K_{rj} K^r_{ihm} = \partial_r \lambda \, K^r_{phm} \dot{x}^p K_{ij}$$

Simplifying (2.12) after multiplying it with  $\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j$ , we get

$$(2.13) K_{phm}^{r} \dot{x}^{p} \{ (K_{ij} \dot{x}^{i} \dot{x}^{j}) (\dot{\partial}_{r} \lambda) - \dot{\partial}_{r} (K_{ij} \dot{x}^{i} \dot{x}^{j}) \} = 0$$

With the help of (2.13) we arrive at either of the following two conclusions (2.14) (a)  $K_{phm}^{r} \dot{x}^{F'} = 0$  or

(b) 
$$\left(K_{ij}\dot{x}^{i}\dot{x}^{j}\right)\left(\dot{\partial}_{r}\lambda\right) - \partial_{r}\left(K_{ij}\dot{x}^{i}\dot{x}^{j}\right) = 0$$

Therefore, we can state:

## Theorem (2.1)

In a Ricci-recurrent Finsler space either of the following two conclusions always hold,

Either 
$$K_{phm}^{\tau} \dot{x}^{p} = 0 \text{ or } \left( K_{ij} \dot{x}^{i} \dot{x}^{j} \right) \left( \dot{\partial}_{r} \lambda \right) - \dot{\partial}_{r} \left( K_{ij} \dot{x}^{i} \dot{x}^{j} \right) = 0$$

We now consider the Lie-derivative of (2.12) and thereafter use the equations (1.15), (1.16), (1.18), (2.3), (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), we get

(2.15) 
$$K_{phm}^{r}\dot{x}^{p}\partial_{r}\phi_{ij} + (p_{|h}\partial_{m}K_{ij} - p_{|m}\partial_{h}K_{ij}) + \phi_{ir}K_{jhm}^{r} + 2K_{ij}(p_{m|h} - p_{h|m}) + K_{im}p_{j|h}$$
  
 $-K_{ih}p_{j|m} + \phi_{rj}K_{ihm}^{r} + K_{mj}p_{i|h} - pK_{hj}p_{i|m}$   
 $= \mathcal{L}_{v}(\partial_{r}\lambda)K_{phm}^{r}\dot{x}^{p}K_{ij} + K_{phm}^{r}\dot{x}^{p}\phi_{ij}\partial_{r}\lambda + K_{ij}(p_{|h}\partial_{m}\lambda - p_{|m}\partial_{h}\lambda)$   
Multiplying (2.15) by  $\dot{x}^{i}\dot{x}^{j}$  and there using (1.16), we get

$$(2.16) p_{|h}\partial_{m}(K_{ij}\dot{x}^{i}\dot{x}^{j}) - p_{|m}\partial_{h}(K_{ij}\dot{x}^{i}\dot{x}^{j}) + K_{ihm}^{r}(n-1)p_{|r} + (n-1)K_{ihm}^{r}\dot{x}^{i}p_{r|j}\dot{x}^{j} + 2K_{ij}\dot{x}^{i}\dot{x}^{j}(p_{m|n}-p_{h|m}) = \mathcal{L}_{\nu}(\partial_{r}\lambda)K_{phm}^{r}\dot{x}^{p}K_{ij}\dot{x}^{i}\dot{x}^{j} + (n-1)K_{phm}^{r}\dot{x}^{p}p_{|i}\dot{x}^{i} + K_{ij}\dot{x}^{i}\dot{x}^{j}(p_{|h}\partial_{m}\lambda - p_{|m}\partial_{h}\lambda)$$

We now take the Lie-derivative of (2.14b) and thereafter use the equation (2.3), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) and get (2.17)  $(K_{ij}\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j)\mathcal{L}_v(\dot{\partial}_r\lambda) + (n-1)p_{|k}\dot{x}^k\dot{\partial}_r\lambda = (n-1)p_{r|k}\dot{x}^k + (n-1)p_{|r}$ Using (2.14b) and (2.17) in (2.16), we get (2.18)  $\&(K_{ij})\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^jp_{[m|h]} = 0$ An obvious consequence of (2.18) is (2.19) (a)  $K_{ij}\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j = 0$  or (b)  $p_{[m|h]} = 0$ 

Therefore, we can state the following:

## Theorem (2.2)

In an affinity connected Ricci-recurrent Finsler space admitting infinitesimal projective transformation either of the following two always hold

either 
$$K_{ij} x^i \dot{x}^j = 0$$
 or  $p_{[h|m]} = p_{[m|h]}$ 

We know that recurrent Finsler space become Ricci-recurrent hence with the help of theorems (2.1) and (2.2), we can state the following:



Research Paper Impact Factor: 4. 695 Peer Reviewed & Indexed Journal

# Theorem (2.3)

A recurrent Finsler space always satisfies either of the following two relations: Either  $K_{abam}^r \dot{x}^p = 0$  or  $(\kappa_i \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j)(\partial_r \lambda) - \partial_r (\kappa_i \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j) = 0$ 

## Theorem (2.4)

In an affinity connected recurrent Finsler space admitting infinitesimal projective transformation either of the following two always holds:

either  $K_{ij} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j = 0$  or  $p_{[m|h]} = p_{[h|m]}$ We now consider the Lie-derivative of (1.11) and get

(2.20) 
$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu}K_{ij}|_{\hbar} = (\mathcal{L}_{\nu}\lambda_{\hbar})K_{ij} + \lambda_{\hbar}K_{ij}$$

Applying the commutation formula (1.19) for the Ricci-tensor  $K_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$  we get,

 $(2.21) \left( \mathcal{L}_{v} K_{ij} \right)_{|n} - \mathcal{L}_{v} K_{ij|n} = \partial_{k} K_{ij} \mathcal{L}_{v} \Gamma_{rh}^{xk} x^{r} + K_{ij} \mathcal{L}_{v} \Gamma_{ih}^{xl} + K_{il} \mathcal{L}_{v} \Gamma_{jh}^{xl}$ 

Using (1.15), (1.16) and (2.3) in (2.20), we get

 $(2.22) \phi_{ij|m} + p\partial_h K_{ij} + 2K_{ij}p_h + K_{hj}p_i + K_{ih}p_j = K_{ij}\mathcal{L}_v\lambda_h + \lambda_h\phi_{ij}$ 

Multiplying (2.22) by  $\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j$  and thereafter using (1.16) and (2.8), we get

$$(2.23) \quad (n-1)\left(p_{|kh} - \lambda_h p_{|k}\right)\dot{x}^k + p \ \partial_h\left(K_{ij}\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j\right) + K_{ij}\dot{x}^i\dot{x}^j\left(2P_h - \mathcal{L}_v\lambda_h\right) = 0$$

Multiplying (2.23) by  $\dot{x}^{h}$  and then making use of (1.13), we get

(2.24)  $(n-1)(p_{|Rh} - \lambda_h p_{|R})\dot{x}^k \dot{x}^h + K_{ij}\dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j \{4P - \mathcal{L}_v \lambda_h \dot{x}^h\} = 0$ 

Therefore, we can state the following:

## Theorem (2.5)

In a Ricci-recurrent affinity connected Finsler space admitting infinitesimal projective transformation the relation (2.24) always holds.

Using (2.19a) in (2.24), we get (2.25)  $(p_{|k|h} - \lambda_h p_{|k}) \dot{x}^k \dot{x}^h = 0$ 

Therefore, we can state:

## Theorem (2.6)

In a Ricci-recurrent affinity connected Finsler space admitting infinitesimal projective transformation satisfies  $K_{ij} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j$ ,

Then (2.25) always holds.

We know that every recurrent Finsler space is Ricci-recurrent, Therefore, we can state:

## Theorem (2.7)

In a recurrent affinity connected Finsler space admitting infinitesimal projective transformation the relation (2.24) necessarily holds.

## Theorem (2.8)

In a recurrent affinity connected Finsler space admitting infinitesimal projective transformation satisfies  $K_{ij}\dot{x}^{i}\dot{x}^{j} = 0$  then

 $\dot{x}^k \dot{x}^h (p_{|kh} - \lambda_h p_{|k}) = 0$  always holds.

## References

- 1. Pranvanovitch, M: Projective and conformal transformation in recurrent and Ricci-recurrent Riemannian space, Tensor (N.S.), 12, 219-226, (1962).
- 2. Rund, H: The differential geometry of Finsler spaces, Springer Verlag, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1959).
- Sinha, B.B. & Singh, S.P: On the recurrent tensor fields of a Finsler space, Rev. dela Fac. de, Sc, de. Istambul, Ser. A. Vol 33, 114-117, (1968).
- 4. Sinha, R.S: Infinitesimal projective transformation in a Finsler space, Prog. Maths, 5(1-2), 30-34, (1971).
- 5. Yano, K: Theory of Lie-derivaties and its applications, North Holland Publ. Co. Amsterdam (1957).