



AMENDING THE SACRED TEXT: A COMPREHENSIVE LOOK AT THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION'S AMENDMENT PROCEDURE

Dr. D. Manjunatha

Lecturer in Political Science, Department of Political Science, Sri Vani Degree & PG college Anantapuramu, Andhra Pradesh-India.

Abstract

The Indian Constitution, adopted in 1950, stands as a testament to the nation's commitment to democracy, justice, and equality. Recognizing the dynamic nature of society, the framers enshrined within this document a mechanism for amendment, allowing future generations to adapt it to evolving needs and aspirations.

The Indian Constitution, renowned for its comprehensive nature and intricate provisions, establishes a robust framework for its own amendment. This meticulous process balances the need for adaptability with the imperative of safeguarding fundamental principles. This paper delves into the amendment procedure outlined in Part XX of the Constitution, exploring its various facets, historical context, landmark judgments, and contemporary debates. Through analyzing different amendment categories and examining significant amendments, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics surrounding constitutional amendments in India.

Keywords: *Amendment, Constitution, Procedure, Part-XX, Democracy, Justice.*

Introduction

The Indian Constitution, adopted on November 26, 1949, is not merely a legal document but a living testament to the aspirations of a nation emerging from colonial rule. It embodies the values of democracy, secularism, social justice, and federalism, providing a blueprint for governance and guaranteeing fundamental rights to its citizens. Yet, recognizing that societal needs and aspirations evolve over time, the framers ingeniously embedded a mechanism for amendment within its framework. This provision, enshrined in Part XX, ensures that the Constitution remains relevant and responsive to the changing needs of the nation while safeguarding its core principles from arbitrary alterations.

I. Genesis of the Amendment Clause: Balancing Flexibility and Stability

The Constituent Assembly, tasked with drafting the Constitution, grappled with the question of incorporating flexibility without jeopardizing the document's sanctity. The framers were acutely aware of the potential for abuse of power and the need to safeguard the fundamental principles upon which the nation was built. They drew inspiration from various sources, including the British model of parliamentary sovereignty, the Canadian Constitution with its quasi-federal structure, and the American model of limited government. Ultimately, they opted for a unique approach, enshrined in **Article 368** that sought to strike a balance between rigidity and flexibility.

Unlike some constitutions that are rigid and difficult to amend, the Indian Constitution adopts a unique approach that balances flexibility with stability. Article 368 vests the power to amend the Constitution in the Indian Parliament, reflecting the principle of parliamentary sovereignty. However, this power is not absolute and is subject to specific limitations and procedures designed to ensure that amendments are not undertaken lightly or unilaterally.



II. Decoding Article 368: The Heart of the Amendment Process

Article 368 vests the power to amend the Constitution with the Parliament of India. However, unlike the British Parliament, the Indian Parliament's power is not absolute. The article outlines three distinct methods of amendment, each with varying degrees of complexity and requiring different levels of parliamentary consensus:

The amendment process outlined in Article 368 can be broadly classified into three categories:

1. Amendments by Simple Majority

- These amendments pertain to matters of relatively less significance and can be passed by a simple majority of members present and voting in both houses of Parliament.

This method applies to amendments considered less consequential. These include:

- **Formation of new states and alteration of boundaries:** (Article 3)
- **Abolition or creation of legislative councils in states:** (Article 169)
- **Salaries and allowances of members of Parliament:** (Article 106)

2. Amendments by Special Majority

- This category encompasses amendments affecting the federal structure, fundamental rights, and other crucial aspects of the Constitution.
- These amendments require a two-thirds majority of members present and voting in each house, along with ratification by at least half of the state legislatures.
- This category reflects a commitment to federalism and ensures that amendments impacting states' rights are not imposed unilaterally by the central government.

3. Amendments Requiring Special Majority and Ratification by States

- A select few amendments, considered critical to the Constitution's basic structure, fall under this category.
- These amendments necessitate not only a special majority in Parliament but also ratification by at least half of the state legislatures.
- This category underscores the significance of these provisions and ensures broad-based consensus before any alteration.

This method is reserved for amendments considered paramount to the federal structure of India. It requires

- Passage by the special majority in both Houses of Parliament (as described in category B).
- Ratification by legislatures of at least half of the states in India.

This category encompasses amendments relating to

- **Election of the President:** (Article 54 & 55)
- **Extent of the executive power of the Union and States:** (Articles 73 & 162)
- **Representation of states in Parliament:** (Article 80, 81 & 82)
- **High Court jurisdiction:** (Article 241)

III. Navigating the Labyrinth: Procedural Safeguards and Judicial Review

The Indian Constitution's amendment procedure is not merely a mechanical exercise; it is intertwined with procedural safeguards designed to ensure deliberation and prevent hasty decisions.



(A) Introduction of Amendment Bills

- Bills seeking to amend the Constitution can be introduced in either House of Parliament, except for those dealing with financial matters, which must originate in the Lok Sabha (the lower house).

(B) Presidential Assent

- Once passed by both Houses, the amendment bill requires the assent of the President of India to become law. While the President can withhold assent in the first instance, they are bound to give assent if the bill is passed again by Parliament with the same majority.

(C) Judicial Review

- The Indian judiciary plays a crucial role in safeguarding the Constitution's basic structure. The Supreme Court, through landmark judgments like **Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala (1973)**, has asserted its power to review constitutional amendments and strike down those that violate the Constitution's "basic structure." This doctrine, while controversial, acts as a crucial check on the amending power of Parliament.

A landmark development in the Indian constitutional landscape was the evolution of the 'Basic Structure' doctrine. This doctrine, first articulated in the *Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala* case (1973), postulates that while Parliament possesses the power to amend the Constitution, it cannot alter its "basic structure." Although the judgment did not explicitly define this "basic structure," it identified elements such as the supremacy of the Constitution, secularism, the separation of powers, and federalism as intrinsic parts of it.

The 'Basic Structure' doctrine, further elaborated upon in subsequent judgments like the *Minerva Mills* case (1980), acts as a crucial safeguard against any potential abuse of the amendment power by Parliament. This doctrine ensures that while the Constitution remains adaptable, its fundamental principles and ethos are preserved.

IV. Landmark Amendments and their Impact

Over the years, the Indian Constitution has undergone numerous amendments, reflecting the country's evolving socio-political landscape. Some of the most significant amendments include:

First Amendment (1951): This amendment, among other things, introduced restrictions on the freedom of speech and expression, aiming to curb inflammatory and communal speeches.

Seventh Amendment (1956): This amendment restructured the Indian Union by reorganizing states along linguistic lines and modifying provisions related to High Courts.

Forty-Second Amendment (1976): Introduced during a period of national emergency, this amendment significantly altered the Constitution by adding "socialist" and "secular" to the Preamble and curtailing judicial review powers. It remains a subject of debate and criticism to this day.

Forty-Fourth Amendment (1978): This amendment reversed some of the controversial provisions introduced by the Forty-Second Amendment, restoring the balance between fundamental rights and directive principles.



Seventy-Third and Seventy-Fourth Amendments (1992): These landmark amendments introduced the concept of Panchayati Raj and municipalities, empowering local self-governance in rural and urban areas, respectively.

V. Evolution through Amendment: Adapting to a Changing India

Since its adoption, the Indian Constitution has been amended over 105 times, reflecting its dynamism and adaptability. These amendments have:

- **Strengthened social justice:** The abolition of untouchability (Article 17), reservation of seats for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in educational institutions and government jobs (Article 15 & 16), and the introduction of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) are some examples.
- **Enhanced federalism:** The reorganization of states based on linguistic lines and the creation of new states are testaments to the Constitution's ability to accommodate regional aspirations.
- **Empowered local governance:** The 73rd and 74th amendments, introducing Panchayati Raj (rural local governance) and Nagar Palikas (urban local governance) respectively, have strengthened grassroots democracy.

VI. Contemporary Debates and Challenges:

The amendment procedure continues to be a topic of discussion and debate in contemporary India. Some of the key challenges and questions surrounding the process include:

Balancing Adaptability and Stability: Striking a balance between the need to adapt the Constitution to evolving societal needs and preserving its core values remains an ongoing challenge.

The Scope of the 'Basic Structure' Doctrine: The ambiguity surrounding the elements constituting the 'Basic Structure' continues to spark debates and requires further judicial pronouncements.

The Role of the Judiciary: The judiciary's role in reviewing the validity of constitutional amendments and upholding the 'Basic Structure' doctrine is crucial and often subject to scrutiny.

Public Participation: The current amendment procedure provides limited scope for public participation. There are calls for mechanisms to enhance transparency and public involvement in the amendment process.

VII. Conclusion: The Enduring Legacy of a Living Document

The Indian Constitution's amendment procedure, meticulously crafted by the framers, has proven to be a cornerstone of India's democratic journey. Its blend of flexibility and rigidity has allowed the document to adapt to the changing needs of a diverse and evolving society while safeguarding its fundamental principles. The interplay between the legislature, executive, judiciary, and the people ensures that the Constitution remains a living document, reflecting the aspirations and values of the nation. As India navigates the complexities of the 21st century, its Constitution, with its inherent capacity for amendment, will continue to serve as a beacon of democracy, guiding the nation toward a just and equitable future.

The Indian Constitution's amendment procedure, a testament to the foresight of its framers, stands as a testament to the dynamic interplay between adaptability and stability. The process, characterized by its multi-layered approach involving parliamentary approval, state ratification, and judicial oversight,



strives to ensure that the Constitution evolves with the times while safeguarding its fundamental principles. The 'Basic Structure' doctrine further reinforces this balance, acting as a bulwark against any attempts to undermine the Constitution's core values. As India progresses, the ongoing discourse surrounding the amendment procedure will continue to shape the nation's constitutional landscape, ensuring that the Constitution remains a living document, reflecting the aspirations and values of its people.

References

1. Austin, G. (2010). *Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation*. Oxford University Press.
2. Basu, D. D. (2011). *Introduction to the Constitution of India*. LexisNexis Butterworths Wadhwa Nagpur.
3. Baxi, U. (2008). *The future of human rights*. Oxford University Press.
4. Constituent Assembly Debates. (1949). Official Report. Manager of Publications, Government of India.
5. Jain, M. P. (2011). *Indian Constitutional Law*. LexisNexis Butterworth Wadhwa Nagpur.
6. Kesavananda Bharati Sripadagalvaru & Ors. v. State of Kerala & Anr., (1973) 4 SCC 225.
7. Krishnaswamy, S. (2009). *Democracy and constitutionalism in India: A study of the basic structure doctrine*. Oxford University Press.
8. Kumar, N. (2017). *Constitutional Law of India* (3rd ed.). Allahabad Law Agency.
9. Minerva Mills Ltd. & Ors v. Union of India & Ors., (1980) 3 SCC 625.
10. Pandey, J. N. (2020). *Constitutional Law of India* (57th ed.). Central Law Agency.
11. Sathe, S. P. (2002). *Judicial activism in India: Transgressing borders and enforcing limits*. Oxford University Press.
12. Shankari Prasad v. Union of India, AIR 1951 SC 458 (1951).
13. Pylee, M. V. (2004). *India's Constitution*. S. Chand Publishing.