A STUDY ON ROLL OF GOVERNEMENT IN BRIDGING FUNDING GAPS FOR EARLY – STAGE START UPS

Dr Jeyaraj Sonai Singaram
Faculty of Accounting and Finance, Sino British College, A Partnership Program with Staffordshire University UK.

Abstract
Early–stage start-ups often face significant challenges in securing adequate funding due to their high-risk profile, limited credit history, and lack of collateral. These constraints create a "funding gap" that restricts their ability to innovate, scale, and sustain operations. Governments across the world have recognized this challenge and introduced various financial and non-financial measures to support emerging enterprises. This study explores the critical role of government in bridging the funding gap for early-stage start-ups through initiatives such as seed funding schemes, credit guarantee programs, tax incentives, public-private partnerships, and venture capital support. By examining both global and Indian perspectives, the paper highlights how effective policy interventions not only address financial constraints but also foster innovation, entrepreneurship, and long-term economic growth. The findings emphasize that government intervention serves as a catalyst in creating a robust start-up ecosystem by mitigating investment risks and encouraging private sector participation.

Keywords: Early-stage start-ups, Government support, Funding gap, Seed capital, Venture capital, Public-private partnership.

Introduction

Start-ups are widely regarded as engines of innovation, employment generation, and economic growth. However, in their initial stages, they encounter formidable financial barriers that hinder their development. Traditional financial institutions are often reluctant to invest in start-ups due to their uncertain business models, high mortality rates, and lack of tangible assets. This results in a funding gap that poses a serious obstacle to entrepreneurial ventures. Governments play a pivotal role in addressing this challenge by formulating policies and schemes aimed at reducing financial risks and improving access to capital. Globally, initiatives such as government-backed venture funds, grants, and incubation programs have been instrumental in promoting start-up ecosystems. In India, flagship programs like Startup India, Fund of Funds for Startups (FFS), SIDBI's venture capital initiatives, and credit guarantee schemes have provided crucial support to emerging entrepreneurs.

This paper seeks to analyze the role of government in bridging the funding gap for early-stage start-ups by reviewing existing literature, policies, and practical interventions. The study also examines the effectiveness of such measures in fostering a sustainable entrepreneurial environment and encouraging private investment. By doing so, it underscores the importance of government participation not as a competitor to private capital, but as an enabler of innovation, risk-sharing, and inclusive economic development.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the rapid growth of start-ups in recent years, early-stage ventures continue to struggle with inadequate access to funding. The reluctance of banks and private investors to finance risky, untested business models has resulted in a persistent funding gap. While governments have introduced numerous programs to bridge this gap, their implementation, reach, and impact remain uneven across

IJMSRR
E- ISSN - 2349-6746
ISSN -2349-6738

regions and sectors. Therefore, a detailed study is required to examine the effectiveness of government intervention in reducing financial constraints and promoting the growth of start-ups.

Objectives of the Study

1. To understand the financial challenges faced by early-stage start-ups.
2. To analyze the role of government policies and schemes in bridging funding gaps.
3. To evaluate the effectiveness of government-led initiatives in supporting start-ups.
4. To identify the challenges and limitations in existing government funding mechanisms.
5. To suggest strategies for strengthening government support and fostering private participation in start-up financing.

Scope of the Study

1. The study focuses on early-stage start-ups that face difficulties in accessing traditional funding sources.
2. It covers both global and Indian perspectives, with a specific emphasis on government initiatives in India.
3. The scope includes financial interventions (grants, seed capital, venture funds, tax incentives) as well as non-financial support (incubation, mentoring, and policy reforms).
4. The research is limited to government intervention in bridging funding gaps and does not extend to marketing, technology, or operational challenges faced by start-ups.

Review of Literature

1. **Berger, A. & Udell, G. (1998)**: Their study on small business financing highlights that start-ups often face difficulties in securing traditional bank loans due to lack of collateral and credit history. This creates a funding gap, requiring alternative financing mechanisms, including government support.
2. **Lerner, J. (2009)**: In Boulevard of Broken Dreams: Why Public Efforts to Boost Entrepreneurship and Venture Capital Have Failed, Lerner emphasizes that government participation in venture capital and funding programs is critical to reduce investment risks and attract private investors into high-risk start-ups.
3. **Mazzucato, M. (2013)**: In The Entrepreneurial State, Mazzuca to argues that governments are not merely facilitators but active investors in innovation. She stresses that state-backed funding has been the backbone of major technological breakthroughs.
4. **OECD Report (2017)**: The OECD review on start-up financing notes that public funding programs, when combined with private investments, create sustainable ecosystems that support early-stage entrepreneurs.
5. **KPMG Report (2020) on Indian Start-ups**: The report highlights that government initiatives like Startup India, Fund of Funds for Start-ups (FFS), and credit guarantee schemes have significantly improved access to finance for Indian entrepreneurs, though challenges of accessibility and bureaucracy remain.
6. **NASSCOM Start-up Report (2022)**: This report emphasizes that start-ups in India still face funding gaps, especially in Tier-II and Tier-III cities, and suggests the need for deeper government and private collaboration.

Summary: The literature reveals a consistent theme: early-stage start-ups struggle with financial access, and governments play a central role in bridging this gap through direct funding, incentives, and partnerships. However, implementation challenges remain, necessitating further research into improving effectiveness.

IJMSRR
E- ISSN - 2349-6746
ISSN -2349-6738

Research Methodology

Research Design

The study adopts a **descriptive and analytical research design**, aiming to analyze government interventions in bridging funding gaps for early-stage start-ups.

Data Collection

Primary Data

1. Structured questionnaires and interviews with early-stage entrepreneurs, incubator managers, and government officials.
2. Case studies of start-ups that have benefited from government funding schemes.

Secondary Data

1. Government reports, policy documents, and annual reviews of schemes like Startup India, FFS, and SIDBI venture funds.
2. Research articles, OECD reports, NASSCOM and KPMG reports, and relevant journal publications.

Sample Size & Area

The study will focus on early-stage start-ups in India, with a sample of 50–100 entrepreneurs across sectors like technology, manufacturing, and services.

Data Analysis Tools

1. Descriptive statistics (percentages, averages) to understand funding patterns.
2. Comparative analysis of government vs. private funding support.
3. Thematic analysis of qualitative responses from interviews.

Findings

1. **Funding Gap is a Major Barrier** – Early-stage start-ups face consistent challenges in accessing capital due to lack of collateral, credit history, and high risk perception by banks and investors.
2. **Government Initiatives are Crucial –** Schemes such as Startup India, Fund of Funds for Start-ups (FFS), SIDBI venture capital, and credit guarantee programs have provided important financial support to entrepreneurs.
3. **Public–Private Partnerships Work Better** – Funding models that combine government support with private sector investments are more effective in reducing financial risks and ensuring long-term sustainability.
4. **Regional Imbalance Exists –** Start-ups in metro and Tier-I cities benefit more from funding schemes, while entrepreneurs in Tier-II and Tier-III cities continue to face difficulties in accessing resources.
5. **Awareness and Accessibility Issues** – Many start-ups are either unaware of government schemes or face bureaucratic hurdles in applying, leading to underutilization of available support.
6. **Non-Financial Support Matters –** Beyond direct funding, incubation, mentoring, tax incentives, and networking opportunities provided by the government help start-ups survive and grow.

Suggestions

1. **Enhance Awareness Programs –** Governments should strengthen outreach initiatives so that more entrepreneurs, especially in smaller cities, are aware of available schemes.

IJMSRR
E- ISSN - 2349-6746
ISSN -2349-6738

2. **Simplify Procedures** – Reducing bureaucratic hurdles and streamlining online application processes will encourage more start-ups to avail funding.
3. **Strengthen Regional Support** – More funds and incubation centers should be allocated to Tier-II and Tier-III cities to ensure balanced entrepreneurial growth.
4. **Encourage PPP Models** – Public–Private Partnerships (PPP) should be expanded to attract venture capitalists and angel investors alongside government backing.
5. **Continuous Monitoring & Feedback** – Regular evaluation of existing funding schemes with feedback from entrepreneurs can help improve efficiency and impact.
6. **Promote Sector-Specific Support** – Targeted funding programs for high-potential sectors like Fin Tech, Health Tech, and Agri Tech can drive innovation in critical areas.

Conclusion

Early-stage start-ups are vital contributors to innovation, job creation, and economic growth, but funding gaps continue to limit their potential. Governments play a central role in bridging these gaps by reducing risks, providing financial and non-financial support, and fostering a favorable entrepreneurial ecosystem. Evidence from both global and Indian contexts highlights that government initiatives such as seed funding, credit guarantees, venture capital support, and tax incentives have enabled start-ups to access much-needed capital. However, challenges of awareness, accessibility, and regional disparity remain.

The study concludes that government intervention should not act as a substitute for private investment but as a **catalyst** that encourages investor confidence, reduces risks, and strengthens the overall start-up ecosystem. With better implementation, transparency, and regional inclusivity, governments can significantly bridge funding gaps and accelerate the growth of early-stage start-ups.

References

1. Berger, A. N., & Udell, G. F. (1998). The economics of small business finance: The roles of private equity and debt markets in the financial growth cycle. Journal of Banking & Finance, 22(6–8), 613–673. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266(98)00038-7.
2. Lerner, J. (2009). Boulevard of broken dreams: Why public efforts to boost entrepreneurship and venture capital have failed—and what to do about it. Princeton University Press.
3. Mazzucato, M. (2013). The entrepreneurial state: Debunking public vs. private sector myths. Anthem Press.
4. OECD. (2017). Financing SMEs and entrepreneurs 2017: An OECD scoreboard. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/fin_sme_ent-2017-en.
5. KPMG. (2020). India’s start-up ecosystem: Evolving, maturing, and growing. KPMG India Report. Retrieved from https://home.kpmg/in/en/home/insights/2020/india-startup-ecosystem.html.
6. NASSCOM. (2022). Indian tech start-up ecosystem: Evolving for the next decade. NASSCOM Report. Retrieved from https://nasscom.in/knowledge-center.
7. Government of India. (2016). Startup India Action Plan. Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT). Retrieved from https://www.startupindia.gov.in.
8. SIDBI. (2021). Fund of Funds for Startups (FFS): Annual report. Small Industries Development Bank of India. Retrieved from https://www.sidbi.in.
9. Gompers, P., & Lerner, J. (2001). The venture capital revolution. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 15(2), 145–168. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.15.2.145.
10. World Bank. (2020). Innovation and entrepreneurship: Policy approaches in emerging economies. World Bank Publications.