



INVESTIGATION OF ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR ON EMPLOYEES

M.Arul Provin Binny* Dr.A.Morarji**

*Ph.D. Research Scholar (Part-time), Department of Business Administration, Alagappa University, Karaikudi.

**Professor, Department of Corporate Secretaryship, Alagappa University, Karaikudi .

Abstract

Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) has been discovered by scholars on the pasttwenty-five years and it carries on to be an area of interest for scholars. Managing knowledge of employees can be a hard task. Most knowledge employees desire some level of autonomy, and do not like being overseen or managed. No matter how well persons can control their feelings. All humans can become expressively cooperated. Hopeful them to get involved in other ways that promote OCB is a good idea. The researchers will found the a positive and significant relationship between overall OCB and performance at the group level.

Introduction

Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) has been discovered by scholars on the pasttwenty-five years and it carries on to be an area of interest for scholars. Most employees know that their major duty is to do the effort that is allocated to them, stay away from presentations that could be deemed worrying, and convey work that is satisfactory and helpful to the organization. Organizational citizenship behavior deals with the activities and actions that are not required by labors. They are not serious to the job, but benefit the team and inspire even greater administrative operative and efficiency. Theyexpression at their job as more than just a income and endeavor to do all they can to make their effort environment run easily; even if it has a negligible joining to their current duties. Usually, these behaviors are seen as positive by managers and business leaders, and the importance and impact of these behaviors should be noted.

There Are Different Factors Are Influenced the Organizational Citizenship Behavior

1. Knowledge of Work
2. Feelings Controller
3. A Transformed Sense of Power
4. Engage the Career of Work
5. Avoidance of Ambiguity
6. Augmented the Job Performance

1. Knowledge of Work:

Managing knowledge of employees can be a hard task. Most knowledge employees desire some level of autonomy, and do not like being overseen or managed. Those who manage knowledge workers are often knowledge workers themselves, or have been in the past. Projects must be carefully considered before assigning to a knowledge worker, as their interest and goals will affect the quality of the completed project. Knowledge workers must be treated as individuals. Workers feel a more significant sense of purpose and work meaningfulness. This means that employees feel their work is essential and useful to the company.

2. Feelings Controller:

Organizational Citizenship Behavior allows employees to feel they have greater control over the work they do, and how they do it. Workers get the chance to decide what they want to put more time into and how they want to achieve it. Occasionally we may want to feel certain feelings. Thus, although people have some independent control over their reactions, they are not machines. No matter how well persons can control their feelings. all humans can become expressively cooperated.

3. A Transformed Sense of Power

When workers feel they are doing well for others or think that they are attractive in work that means something, it prevents feelings of exhaustion. Workers can become re-energized and have a new intelligence of purpose so they can continue to do work that furthers company goals.



4. Avoidance of Ambiguity

If a job has not been defined by managers, leaders can use this as an occasion to make the worker's position fit with work goals and add in elements that inspire Organizational Citizenship Behavior. As time goes by, roles need to be redefined or changed to reflect a worker's skill set better. If bests are practicality they can work with employees to work in OCB elements.

5. Engage the Career of Work

The same study also presented that workers who did not have a lot of work experience were open to hopping into Organizational Citizenship Behavior. They were not set in their ways and were looking for ways to become engaged in the group. Hopeful those to get involved in other ways that promote OCB is a good idea.

6. Augmented the Job Performance

Organizational Citizenship Behavior led to more significant job performance which leads to high-quality work and increased productivity for employers. It also came with the bonus of higher interactive relationships, a reduction in conflict, and lower time costs.

Organizational Citizenship Behavior Types and Examples

There are various ways the employees can show organizational citizenship, but there are five agreed upon types and principles that employees can exemplify when engaging in OCB. They are related to some of the common links from an earlier cited study. Each one also comprises an example of how a worker could develop this principle in their workday.

Altruism

This type of Organizational Citizenship Behavior is when a person decides to help somebody else without expecting anything in return. In a profitable setting, this would likely take the form of a worker choosing to help a co-worker finish a project or a set of tasks even though the work does not unavoidably relate to what they need to get done in their regular workday.

Courtesy

This is when a worker is thoughtful or good-mannered to those they work with. This could look like a worker taking care to watch their sound levels if they need to speak on the phone with clients or examination in with co-workers about a worrying personal issue that could impact their presentation.

Sportsmanship

This principle means an employee decides to stay in good spirits even when somewhat does not go their way, or when something that creates a substantial amount of irritation or prevention. In a regular business setting, this could be exemplified by a worker non-participation from complaining or gossiping about a rejected project proposal.

Conscientiousness

The employees go above and beyond; the quality of thoroughness is likely at work. Coming into work early to finish a project, working to ensure team goals are exceeded for the quarter, developing a new way to approach a process or procedure even when this duty is not outlined in their job description are all ways that workers can exemplify this trait.

Civic Virtue

This is when a worker represents the company they are associated with in a positive light. This could occur within or outside of the business. It encourages a sense of community and strong interpersonal ties between co-workers. Employees could engage in this type of Organizational Citizenship Behavior by speaking favorably about the organization to that outside of it, participating in charity projects the company participates in, and planning or attending company-sanctioned social events.



Conclusion

The OCBs were positively related to unit-level performance and customer satisfaction. Nielsen, Hrivnak, and Shaw (2009), in their meta-analytic review of the existing group literature, examined the relationship between OCB and performance at the group level. The researchers will found the positive and significant relationship between overall OCB and performance at the group level.

References

1. Katz, D. (1964). "The motivational basis of organizational behavior". Behavioral Science. 9 (2): 131–133. doi:10.1002/bs.3830090206.
2. Organ, D. W. (1988). Organizational Citizenship behavior: The good soldier syndrome. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
3. Organ, D. W. (1997). Organizational citizenship behavior: It's construct cleanup time. Human Performance, 10(2), 85-97.
4. Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In N. Schmitt, W. C. Borman, & Associates (Eds.), Personnel selection in organizations (pp. 71–98). San-Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
5. Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In N. Schmitt, W. C. Borman, & Associates (Eds.), Personnel selection in organizations (pp. 71–98). San-Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
6. Brief, A. P., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1986). Prosocial organizational behaviors. Academy of Management Review, 11, 710-725.
7. References
8. Bateman, T. S., & Organ, D. W. (1983). Job satisfaction and the good soldier: The relationship between affect and employee "citizenship." Academy of Management Journal, 26(4), 587-595.
9. Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In N. Schmitt, W. C. Borman, & Associates (Eds.), Personnel selection in organizations (pp. 71–98). San-Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
10. Brief, A. P., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1986). Prosocial organizational behaviors. Academy of Management Review, 11, 710-725.
11. Deery, S., Rayton, B., Walsh, J. & Kinnie, N. (2016). The Costs of Exhibiting Organizational Citizenship Behavior. Human Resource Management. doi: 10.1002/hrm.21815.
12. Dalal, R. S. (2005). A meta-analysis of the relationship between organizational citizenship behavior and counterproductive work behavior. Journal of Applied Psychology, 90(6), 1241-1255.
13. Kidder, D., & Parks, J. (2001). The good soldier: Who is s(he)? Journal of Organizational Behavior, 22(8), 939-959.
14. Law, S. K., Wong, C., & Chen, X. Z. (2005). The construct of organizational citizenship behavior: Should we analyze after we have conceptualized? In D. L. Turnipseed (Ed.), Handbook of organizational citizenship behavior (pp. 47–65). New York: Nova Science Publishers.
15. LePine, J. A., Erez, A., & Johnson, D. E. (2002). The nature and dimensionality of organizational citizenship behavior: A critical review and meta-analysis. Journal of Applied Psychology, 87(1), 52-65.